



BROMLEY CIVIC CENTRE, STOCKWELL CLOSE, BROMLEY BRI 3UH

TELEPHONE: 020 8464 3333

CONTACT: Helen Long
helen.long@bromley.gov.uk

DIRECT LINE: 020 8313 4595

FAX: 020 8290 0608

DATE: 30th August 2012

CARE SERVICES PORTFOLIO HOLDER BRIEFING

Meeting to be held on Tuesday 4 September 2012

5 OUTCOMES OF THE UNANNOUNCED OFSTED INSPECTION OF THE LOCAL AUTHORITY'S ARRANGEMENTS FOR THE PROTECTION OF CHILDREN (TO FOLLOW) (Pages 3 - 32)

Attached is the above report which was marked to follow on the briefing published last week. The report is due to be considered by the Executive at its meeting on 12th September 2012.

Members and Co-opted Members have been provided with advanced copies of the Part 1 (Public) briefing via email. The Part 1 (Public) briefing is also available on the Council website at the following link:

<http://cds.bromley.gov.uk/ieListMeetings.aspx?CId=559&Year=2012>

Printed copies of the briefing are available upon request by contacting Lynn Hill on 020 8461 7700 or by e-mail at lynn.hill@bromley.gov.uk.

Copies of the Part 1 (Public) documents referred to above can be obtained from
www.bromley.gov.uk/meetings

This page is left intentionally blank

Report No.
ED12032

London Borough of Bromley

PART ONE - PUBLIC

Decision Maker: Executive

Date: 12 September 2012

Decision Type: Non-Urgent Executive Non-Key

Title: **OUTCOME OF OFSTED INSPECTION OF LOCAL AUTHORITY ARRANGEMENTS FOR THE PROTECTION OF CHILDREN**

Contact Officer: Julie Daly, Head of Safeguarding and Quality Assurance
020 8313 4610 E-mail: julie.daly@bromley.gov.uk

Chief Officer: Kay Weiss, Assistant Director (Safeguarding and Social Care)

Ward: All

1. Reason for report
 - 1.1 Between 9 to 18 July 2012 Bromley was the subject of an unannounced inspection by Ofsted of local authority arrangements for the protection of children.
 - 1.2 This report provides an outline of the inspection methodology and outcomes and the plan for addressing the actions required by Ofsted by November 2012.
-

2. RECOMMENDATION(S)

- 2.1 **Members are asked to consider the contents of this report and offer comments.**

Corporate Policy

1. Policy Status: Not Applicable
 2. BBB Priority: Children and Young People
-

Financial

1. Cost of proposal: Not Applicable
 2. Ongoing costs: Not Applicable
 3. Budget head/performance centre:
 4. Total current budget for this head: £
 5. Source of funding:
-

Staff

1. Number of staff (current and additional):
 2. If from existing staff resources, number of staff hours:
-

Legal

1. Legal Requirement: Statutory Requirement: Education and Inspections Act 2006
 2. Call-in: Applicable Not Applicable: Further Details
-

Customer Impact

1. Estimated number of users/beneficiaries (current and projected):
-

Ward Councillor Views

1. Have Ward Councillors been asked for comments? Not Applicable
2. Summary of Ward Councillors comments:

3. COMMENTARY

Background

- 3.1 Between 9 to 18 July 2012 Bromley was the subject of an unannounced inspection by Ofsted of local authority arrangements for the protection of children.
- 3.2 This was under a new inspection framework that was introduced in April this year. The framework was developed as a result of the Munro Review of Child Protection which was published in May 2011. The Munro Review proposed that inspections of child protection services should be conducted on an unannounced basis in order to minimise the bureaucratic burden. It also recommended that the inspection framework methodology should examine the effectiveness of the contributions of all local services, including health, education, police, probation and the justice system, putting the experiences of children, young people and their families at the heart of the inspection system.
- 3.3 The Government accepted the recommendations of the Munro review including the need for a change of the inspection methodology. The Government announced that the new full multi agency unannounced inspection framework proposed by Munro would be implemented from April 2013.
- 3.4 In the interim the Government confirmed its intention to undertake single agency Ofsted inspections from April 2012 to March 2013 for the inspection of local authority child protection services alone which would effectively incorporate the annual unannounced inspection of contract and referral (which had taken place in Bromley in April 2011). This interim framework would only be in place until April 2013.
- 3.5 The new inspection sets a more robust benchmark in the assessment of child protection services by examining evidence of the impact of the help given to children and their families. It looks at key aspects of a child's journey through the child protection system, focusing on the experiences of the child or young person, and the effectiveness of the help and protection that they are offered.

The Bromley Inspection

- 3.6 Bromley is only the third authority in the country, and the first in London, to be inspected under the new framework. It is not expected that all authorities will receive an inspection under this framework. The only other authority with a published report to date was deemed inadequate.
- 3.7 The lead inspector arrived on site with 10 minutes notice. The full inspection team consisted of 5 inspectors. In all the team scrutinised 74 case files, observed practice and attended a range of meetings alongside social workers and their managers. They discussed the help and protection given to children and young people with social workers, managers and other professionals including members of the Bromley Safeguarding Children Board (BSCB). Wherever possible, they talked to children, young people and their families. In addition the inspectors analysed performance data, reports and management information used to inform work with children and young people.
- 3.8 The inspection was a snap shot of practice in the here and now and did not take account of any future plans for improvements to the service.

- 3.9 The evaluation schedule for the inspection is comprised of judgements against four areas:
- Overall effectiveness, including areas for development.
 - The effectiveness of the help and protection provided to children, young people, and their families and carers.
 - The quality of practice.
 - Leadership and governance.
- 3.10 Judgements are rated outstanding, good, adequate or inadequate. Bromley was judged to be adequate against all four areas.
- 3.11 The positive aspects of service outlined by the inspectors included:
- There is clear strategic vision and leadership in place that has made some significant improvements to practice and service delivery.
 - Thresholds are clear and understood by a full range of agencies.
 - When children become the subject of a child protection plan good multi-agency involvement continues with regular and well attended meetings.
 - Children are safe and the response to children at risk of harm is appropriate.
 - Children are seen and their views sought.
 - There is evidence of regular supervision of social workers and recording is up to date.
 - The early intervention service is good and is offered in a timely and focused way.
 - The common assessment framework is working well.
- 3.12 However, less robust areas included that:
- Although some very good examples of assessments were seen, the overall quality was too variable and some did not focus sufficiently on risk or fully consider the child's ethnicity, culture, religion, language or disability.
 - Plans for children are not sufficiently outcome focused to monitor progress and ensure that parents are clear about what needs to change for their children to be effectively protected.
 - The work of partners does not routinely focus on the experiences of the child and whether interventions are improving outcomes for them.
 - The Teenage and Parent Support Service (TAPPS) is not well coordinated and the impact of support given is not effectively measured or evaluated.
 - While arrangements around child protection cases were robust there was more concern that lower threshold cases, particularly those involving neglect, were not effectively managed.
- 3.13 In general the conclusion was that the authority had compliance in all areas examined. The challenge for the authority was seen as moving from a compliance culture to one where social work interventions are consistently producing and recording measurable improvements in outcomes for children.

Areas for improvement

3.14 The inspection report was published on 17 August and gives a list of areas for improvement either immediately, within 3 months or within 6 months as detailed below. See Appendix 2.

Immediately:

- Children's social care should review all open child in need cases that have not yet been escalated into safeguarding processes, including those held in Teenager and Parent Support Service (TAPSS), to satisfy themselves that suitably robust plans are in place.
- Ensure effective consideration is given to a child or young person's ethnicity, culture, religion, language and disability in assessments so as to inform planning.

Within three months:

- Ensure child in need and child protection plans are robust, comprehensive, specific, have clear timescales, allow for the evaluation of progress and are shared effectively with parents.
- Take action to ensure that risk is explicitly addressed in assessments, plans, reports, meetings and discussions with parents in child protection cases and cases where potential risks are beginning to emerge.
- Ensure that interventions with families actively consider the experience of the child.
- Ensure that work undertaken in TAPSS is driven by a plan with specific and measurable objectives.

Within six months:

- Develop a performance management framework that effectively ensures that managers have a consistent focus on driving improvement in the quality of practice.
- Ensure that the voice of the children, young people and parents effectively influences service improvement.
- Ensure that suitable arrangements, including the use of advocates, are developed to enable young people to routinely attend and contribute to case conferences.

3.15 A detailed plan has been developed to take forward those actions required immediate or within 3 months and is shown as appendix 1.

3.16 It would appear that in the light of the Munro Review the Ofsted framework for inspection has been significantly strengthened. An article in 'Community Care' on 12 July reported that five of the 24 local authorities whose safeguarding and looked-after children's services have been inspected by Ofsted in the past four months, under the pre-existing inspection framework, have shown some level of inadequacy and some Council leaders believe the bar for inspections has been significantly raised.

4. POLICY IMPLICATIONS

4.1 Building a Better Bromley outlines the Council's commitment to safeguarding children at risk.

5. LEGAL IMPLICATIONS

- 5.1 The responsibility to provide services for children in need is a statutory responsibility under section 17 of the Children Act 1989. In addition section 47 of the Act places a requirement on the local authority to safeguard children at risk of significant harm.
- 5.2 Section 135 Education and Inspections Act 2006 empowers Ofsted to conduct inspections of Local Authority children functions.

Non-Applicable Sections:	Financial/Personnel Implications
Background Documents: (Access via Contact Officer)	Inspection of local authority arrangements for the protection of children. London Borough of Bromley. Ofsted – published 17 August 2012

Actions	Action Summary	Measure of success / What will be different?	Plan for Implementation	By Whom & When
	ACTIONS TO BE TAKEN IMMEDIATELY			
1.	Children’s social care should review all open child in need (CIN) cases that have not yet been escalated into safeguarding processes, including those held in Teenager and Parent Support Service (TAPSS), to satisfy themselves that suitably robust plans are in place.	No children will be left in a situation of drift. Risk will be identified promptly and cases escalated in a timely manner.	<p>Managers within the Safeguarding and Care Planning Service are currently reviewing all 236 open CIN cases to ensure (a) there is a recorded plan (b) the case should not be escalated (c) each case has a chronology to inform analysis and decision making. This review will be completed by the end of September.</p> <p>In addition a detailed audit of 20% of the cases will take place on 21st and 22nd of August be undertaken led by Quality Assurance which will examine more closely the quality and impact of work on CIN cases and make recommendations to senior managers for improvement in the service. Similarly an audit of all 29 open cases within the TAPPS service will take place on 15th August.</p> <p>The children’s social care data set has been amended to include more detailed information around CIN cases which will be reviewed by the senior management team on a monthly basis.</p>	<p>Susan Phillips Underway</p> <p>Julie Daly August</p> <p>SMT Underway</p>
2.	Ensure effective consideration is given to a child or young person’s ethnicity, culture, religion, language and disability in assessments so as to inform planning.	All assessments will take account of how the child and family’s ethnicity, culture, religion, language and disability impacts on their situation and will lead to a more effective CIN or CP plan.	<p>All managers have been reminded by e-mail to ensure this is taken account of in assessments.</p> <p>A new recording format for supervision records is being devised which will ensure that managers are more focused</p>	<p>SMT – completed</p> <p>Julie Daly Underway</p>

Actions	Action Summary	Measure of success / What will be different?	Plan for Implementation	By Whom & When
			<p>in their recording of the analysis that take place as part of a social worker's assessment this will include issues of diversity.</p> <p>A review of the assessment process will be undertaken. This review will seek to align the early intervention and social care assessment models and provide an integrated pathway of assessment for the child's journey through services in Bromley. The assessment model will provide an analytical tool to ensure that assessments clearly identify risk in a way that is understood by carers, that takes account of issues of diversity within the assessment and provides a framework to deliver services for the child. The first meeting for this the task group to undertake this work has been scheduled for 19th September.</p>	Mark Thorn September
	ACTIONS TO BE TAKEN WITHIN 3 MONTHS			
3.	Ensure child in need and child protection plans are robust, comprehensive, specific, have clear timescales, allow for the evaluation of progress and are shared effectively with parents.	All meetings regarding children should be outcome focused and have SMART plans which are clearly understood by parents and include contingency provisions if plans are not effective in agreed timescales.	<p>The 'strengthening families' model of chairing child protection conferences, which incorporates an outcome focused framework, was introduced in Bromley from 1st August.</p> <p>In addition training in the 'strengthening families' model of chairing will be introduced for all first line managers to improve the chairing of CIN meetings and ensure the focus is kept on the child.</p> <p>All managers will be accountable for ensuring plans are recorded</p>	<p>Julie Daly Underway</p> <p>Susan Phillips/ Mark Thorn/ Julie Daly Oct/November</p> <p>All Group and</p>

Actions	Action Summary	Measure of success / What will be different?	Plan for Implementation	By Whom & When
			appropriately on CareFirst and have measureable outcomes and to show evidence from audits that this is being monitored.	Deputy managers
4.	Take action to ensure that risk is explicitly addressed in assessments, plans, reports, meetings and discussions with parents in child protection cases and cases where potential risks are beginning to emerge.	Parents will be clear what concerns social workers have about their care of their children. They will be clear what needs to change for the care they give their children to be considered acceptable and they will understand the consequences if the situation does not improve.	<p>This is already being partly addressed through the 'strengthening families' model as outlined under (4) above.</p> <p>This will also be addressed in supervision and recorded using the new format as outlined in (2) above.</p> <p>The structure of first line management audits will be changed to measure whether risks to the child/ren are identified; there is evidence that parents are engaged and understand the concerns and are actively addressing them and the experience of the child is understood. Outcomes from these audits will be made available to senior managers to assist in strengthening practice.</p> <p>All managers will be accountable for ensuring that their auditing activity specifically addresses this area.</p>	<p>Julie Daly October</p> <p>Heads of Service, Group and Deputy managers. October</p>

Actions	Action Summary	Measure of success / What will be different?	Plan for Implementation	By Whom & When
5.	Ensure that interventions with families actively consider the experience of the child.	Social work skills for the engagement of children and their families and for the analysis of risk will be improved. Social workers will provide interventions that assist in prompting change in families rather than compliance.	<p>A programme of improving intervention skills for social workers was started in May 2012, with the training of a cohort of safeguarding social workers in the 'assessment of disorganised attachment and maltreatment' (ADAM). This training explicitly looks at enhancing social workers direct work skills with children and young people and gives a method of better assessing the risks and experience of the child's life. Three further cohorts of social workers will be trained between September and December.</p> <p>In addition it is planned to introduce the 'PAMS' model for the assessment of parents with learning disabilities to produce similar outcomes as ADAM.</p> <p>The use of specific interventions will be reviewed via supervision and will be assisted by the new supervision recording format – see (2) above.</p>	<p>Susan Phillips/ Julie Daly Underway</p> <p>Susan Phillips September</p>
7.	Ensure that work undertaken in TAPSS is driven by a plan with specific and measurable objectives.	The TAPPS service will offer interventions to young people and their families that are based on comprehensive assessment of the whole family and are driven by SMART plans with measurable goals to improve outcomes for young people.	<p>The audit of TAPPS cases in August will identify areas of practice that need development and ensure there is compliance within the service with basic social work standards – see (1) above.</p> <p>A review will be undertaken of the role and function of the TAPPS service. This will link to the development of a strategy for the provision of services to young people aged 11+ and will ensure that this service is focused and coordinated with other relevant services.</p>	<p>Julie Daly August</p> <p>Mark Thorn November</p>

Inspection of local authority arrangements for the protection of children

London Borough of Bromley

Inspection dates: 9-18 July 2012
Lead inspector Pauline Turner HMI

Age group: All
Published: 17 August 2012

© Crown copyright 2012

Website: www.ofsted.gov.uk

This document may be reproduced in whole or in part for non-commercial purposes, provided that the information quoted is reproduced without adaptation and the source and date of publication are stated.

Further copies of this report are obtainable from the local authority or at www.ofsted.gov.uk

Contents

Inspection of local authority arrangements for the protection of children	2
The inspection judgements and what they mean	2
Overall effectiveness	2
Areas for improvement	2
About this inspection	4
Service information	4
Overall effectiveness	6
The effectiveness of the help and protection provided to children, young people, families and carers	7
The quality of practice	9
Leadership and governance	11
Record of main findings	13

Inspection of local authority arrangements for the protection of children

The inspection judgements and what they mean

1. All inspection judgements are made using the following four point scale.

Outstanding	a service that significantly exceeds minimum requirements
Good	a service that exceeds minimum requirements
Adequate	a service that meets minimum requirements
Inadequate	a service that does not meet minimum requirements

Overall effectiveness

The overall effectiveness of the arrangements to protect children in the London Borough of Bromley is judged to be adequate.

Areas for improvement

2. In order to improve the quality of help and protection given to children and young people in the London Borough of Bromley, the local authority and its partners should take the following action.

Immediately:

- children's social care should review all open child in need cases that have not yet been escalated into safeguarding processes, including those held in Teenager and Parent Support Service (TAPSS), to satisfy themselves that suitably robust plans are in place
- ensure effective consideration is given to a child or young person's ethnicity, culture, religion, language and disability in assessments so as to inform planning

Within three months:

- ensure child in need and child protection plans are robust, comprehensive, specific, have clear timescales, allow for the evaluation of progress and are shared effectively with parents
- take action to ensure that risk is explicitly addressed in assessments, plans, reports, meetings and discussions with parents in child protection cases and cases where potential risks are beginning to emerge

- ensure that interventions with families actively consider the experience of the child
- ensure that work undertaken in TAPSS is driven by a plan with specific and measurable objectives.

Within six months:

- develop a performance management framework that effectively ensures that managers have a consistent focus on driving improvement in the quality of practice
- ensure that the voice of the children, young people and parents effectively influences service improvement
- ensure that suitable arrangements, including the use of advocates, are developed to enable young people to routinely attend and contribute to case conferences.

About this inspection

3. This inspection was unannounced.
4. This inspection considered key aspects of a child's journey through the child protection system, focusing on the experiences of the child or young person, and the effectiveness of the help and protection that they are offered. Inspectors scrutinised 74 case files, observed practice and discussed the help and protection given to these children and young people with social workers, managers and other professionals including members of the Bromley Safeguarding Children Board (BSCB). Wherever possible, they have talked to children, young people and their families. In addition the inspectors have analysed performance data, reports and management information that the local authority holds to inform its work with children and young people.
5. This inspection focused on the effectiveness of multi-agency arrangements for identifying children who are suffering, or likely to suffer, harm from abuse or neglect; and for the provision of early help where it is needed. It also considered the effectiveness of the local authority and its partners in protecting these children if the risk remains or intensifies.
6. The inspection team consisted of four of Her Majesty's Inspectors (HMI) and one additional inspector.
7. This inspection was carried out under Section 136 of the Education and Inspections Act 2006.

Service information

8. The London Borough of Bromley has approximately 68,100 children and young people under the age of 19 years. This is 23% of the total population. Children and young people of school age from minority ethnic groups account for 28.6% of the total population, compared with 24.3% in the country as a whole. Some 4.7% of children and young people are of Black African background and Bromley has one of the largest groups of settled Gypsies and Travellers in England.
9. Early help for children and families in Bromley is provided by the Education and Care Services department of the local authority and located within the Bromley Children Project, Targeted Youth Support (which includes the youth offending service), Common Assessment Framework (CAF) team and community support services commissioned by the council. Delivery is provided through six mainstream children's centres located in areas of greatest need, tailored outreach family support interventions and targeted youth programmes. One further children's centre provides dedicated support to children with severe disabilities.

10. Contacts and referrals for targeted services to children's social care are managed through the centralised referral and assessment service, which includes a multi-agency support hub (MASH) as an initial point of contact and Teenager and Parent Support Service (TAPSS). Two safeguarding and care planning teams provide a service to children in need and children subject to child protection plans. There is also an out of hours service.

Overall effectiveness

Adequate

11. The overall effectiveness of the arrangements to protect children in the London Borough of Bromley is judged to be adequate. Clear strategic vision and leadership is in place that has made some significant improvements to practice and service delivery from a low base. Staffing levels have improved resulting in substantial improvement in the timeliness of assessments and responses from children's social care. The stability of the children's social care workforce has led to better engagement with other agencies and enhanced partnership working. Early intervention services and child protection services are aligned under the same management structure improving the coordination and delivery of services to children and families. However, senior leaders are aware that further work is required to sustain the improvements made to date and address current weaknesses.
12. Thresholds are clear and understood by a full range of agencies. A suitably wide range of services are in place to enable early identification of children who require additional targeted support, especially those children aged 0-11 years. Partner agencies work well within the structure of team around the child (TAC), supported by the CAF team, engaging families at an early stage to prevent the need for statutory intervention. A multi-agency support hub (MASH) has been established and while the multi-agency dimension of this is under development, a prompt response is made to all contacts from the public and partner agencies. Effective arrangements are in place within children's social care to protect children from immediate risk of harm. However, assessments do not always identify all needs beyond those concerning the immediate risk of harm. This leads to some re-referrals and delays in meeting children's wider needs promptly. Although some very good examples of assessments were seen, their overall quality is too variable and some do not focus sufficiently on risk or fully consider the child's ethnicity, culture, religion, language or disability. In a small number of cases very recent appropriate action was taken to ensure that children known to the local authority for some time and experiencing neglect were progressed into safeguarding processes. Older children who receive a service from TAPSS are supported to remain with their family. The needs of younger children in the same household are not always routinely assessed. It is not yet known if this service is having sufficient impact in reducing the reception of children into the care of the local authority.
13. When children become subject of a child protection plan good multi-agency involvement continues with regular and well attended meetings. However, plans are not sufficiently outcome focused to monitor progress and ensure that parents are clear about what needs to change for their children to be effectively protected. The work of partners does not

routinely focus on the experiences of the child and whether interventions are improving outcomes for them. While children and young people involved in child protection processes are seen regularly by their social worker they are rarely offered the support of an advocate or the opportunity to be involved in case conferences.

14. Senior leaders have the valuable support of elected members who also provide regular scrutiny. However, elected members have not routinely attended the BSCB and do not yet provide the same challenge across the partnership. The BSCB is meeting its statutory duties and members provide sufficient challenge to drive improvement activity based on robust analysis of performance information that is well focused on early intervention and child protection practice. However, they do not routinely focus on the outcomes of activity. A new independent chair of the BSCB has recently been appointed and has already provided challenge to the Board about the need to re-focus on outcomes, although it is too early to see the impact of this.
15. Performance management within the BSCB and children's social care has focused significantly on improving key performance indicators. This has been appropriate given the previously poor performance and compliance. However, performance management frameworks are not yet sufficiently robust to drive improvement in the quality of practice and improve outcomes for children, young people and families. Service development is not yet influenced by children and families who have experienced child in need and child protection services.

The effectiveness of the help and protection provided to children, young people, families and carers

Adequate

16. The effectiveness of the help and protection provided to children, young people, families and carers is adequate.
17. Most early help and intervention for young children is timely and focused and is particularly well delivered by the Bromley Children Project. A very useful assessment tool is being piloted which enables parents and the service to measure the impact of the intervention and improved outcomes for young people. For older children and young people support is available locally through targeted youth support and the Teenage and Parent Support Service (TAPSS). While some useful interventions have assisted some very vulnerable young people, TAPSS support is not well coordinated; child in need plans are not routinely used to focus families and professionals in meeting the young persons needs. The impact of the support provided by the service is not effectively measured or evaluated.

18. The common assessment framework (CAF) works well and partners are increasingly confident in its use. Team around the child (TAC) meetings ensure that young people's voices on an individual basis are well represented. Effective communication and collaborative working between agencies is evident in most cases and this leads to well coordinated services to support children and young people. Parents and carers appreciate the early help they receive. CAF coordinators, who are social work qualified, receive all CAFs and assist with the chairing of complex TAC meetings. This intervention ensures that thresholds are consistently applied and concerns are appropriately referred to children's social care services when necessary. Intervention is proportionate and families are not subjected to formal child protection when this is not necessary.
19. When children require statutory intervention from children's social care, appropriate referrals are made by a wide range of other agencies working with children and their families. The response from children's social care to those children at risk of immediate harm is appropriate. However in some cases which are assessed as lower risk, children's social care services either do not respond effectively or close the case too early. As a result, professionals make re-referrals to secure a further response from children's social care on less immediate concerns. This means that opportunities are lost by children's social care to effectively support children at an earlier stage.
20. Children known to children's social care are visited regularly and social workers have a good understanding of children's circumstances. In most cases this leads to adequate outcomes enabling children to remain safely at home with their parents. However, there is little evidence to reflect how the child experiences the involvement of agencies and the impact of the services that they receive. While case files mostly record the ethnicity of the child or young person, religion, language and disability are not routinely recorded and all these factors are not consistently considered in assessments and planning. The interpreting service is well used and enables parents to contribute fully to intervention processes. The use of advocates is not promoted effectively, although parents are encouraged to attend meetings and are often supported by family members. While very small numbers of young people have attended child protection conferences, current local authority processes, including the timing of these meetings, mean that young people are not routinely invited to attend. Most children are removed from a child protection plan at an appropriate stage. Step down monitoring arrangements are mostly robust although the level at which sustained improvements have been achieved are not analysed by way of impact on the need for re-referrals back to children's social care.
21. Parents who spoke to inspectors overwhelmingly valued the support they have received from the Bromley Children Project and the early help they receive from other partners. However, parents' views on the intervention

offered by children's social care were more variable. Many parents agreed that their involvement was necessary, although not all were clear about the extent of the risks or how the intervention was going to help reduce risks. Some parents felt that they are not listened to or understood. A few parents did not have sufficient information about how to make a complaint.

22. A multi-agency planning meeting has recently been introduced to coordinate support to young people who have been identified as at risk of sexual exploitation. While this is not yet fully embedded, interventions to date reflect effective and collaborative partnership working. Well targeted plans have been developed for identified young people and this has resulted in effective strategies to reduce risk. Commissioned services provided by Barnardo's have been particularly successful in engaging young people in a sustained way leading to positive changes in behaviour. Further examples were seen of effective information sharing between children's social care services and partner agencies, including the Maternity Concerns meeting. This successfully ensures that high risk pre-birth cases are identified at an early stage and appropriate actions and plans are put in place. Family group conferencing is targeted to ensure that all family care options are fully explored to support parents in crisis. This has clearly delivered improved outcomes for children and young people and their families.

The quality of practice

Adequate

23. The quality of practice is adequate.
24. Children and young people who are the subject of assessments and other interventions are routinely seen, and seen alone where appropriate. Social workers use a range of approaches to build relationships with children and secure their views which are incorporated in records, and in most cases inform work undertaken. Observations of pre-verbal children are used to understand their feelings.
25. Universal services across the partnership refer cases appropriately. Thresholds for referral to social care services are generally understood and applied, with most cases progressed or closed appropriately at contact and referral stages. Professionals in universal and targeted provision are able to receive sound advice on children's social care and safeguarding matters from the MASH, including on whether thresholds for social care intervention are met. MASH responds promptly to contacts from other professionals and the public. Historic information and that from other agencies are considered and inform decision-making. Cases requiring social care involvement are escalated appropriately to the referral and assessment team. Inspectors found improvements in the functioning of

the emergency duty team in response to the findings of previous inspections. In particular, communication with day services is now aided by more robust information systems and backup arrangements as well as a deputy team manager who has a designated link role with the emergency duty team which enables regular formal and informal dialogue.

26. The findings of Section 47 enquiries are recorded clearly with some analysis of risk factors in almost all cases. However, the overall quality of Section 47 enquiries is too variable. Some are conducted thoroughly, with full background checks and evaluation of information and observations. However, others are less thorough, with no evidence of a full range of agency checks being undertaken and recorded. This means that early decision making in Section 47 enquiries too often takes place without consideration of full information. This is compounded by the lack of a multi-agency strategy meeting at the outset. Almost all strategy meetings are conducted between children's social care and the police by telephone which does not always enable a full consideration of all the background information held by other partners.
27. The timeliness of initial and core assessments has improved but the quality is too variable. Some include good analysis that draw together salient information and use research to support understanding and inform actions. Others are less thorough and are too descriptive. This is also true of reports to child protection conferences and child in need meetings, where the lack of analysis hampers effective outcome focused planning. Quality assurance of CAF and TAC processes enables proactive support and challenge and leads to good quality assessments with corresponding interventions.
28. Child protection plans are, in most cases, too general and insufficiently focused on reducing risk. Core group meetings take place regularly and are well attended by relevant professionals and parents. However, these meetings are not fully effective at developing the outline plan, agreed at child protection conferences, into a broader working tool which enables professionals to be clear with parents about desired outcomes and how these will be achieved. The council has recognised this and is implementing the strengthening families model in response. This model is based on better engagement with families by developing outcome focused plans. Child protection and child in need plans are shared with family members, but families do not always understand what needs to change. While review child protection conferences and core groups monitor compliance with the plan, they do not explicitly address the extent to which risk has reduced. Child in need plans are similarly lacking in an outcomes focus. In some cases, the lack of effective monitoring and review has led to enduring or emerging neglect factors going unrecognised. Most young people and families supported by TAPSS do not have a written plan and as a result, interventions are unfocused and do

not take into account potential significant risks and needs arising for younger siblings. Too often there is insufficient consideration given to contingency planning for children and young people.

29. First and second line managers exercise oversight of casework through formal and informal supervision and workflow monitoring. Decisions are recorded though they do not always establish specific actions with timescales. Management oversight is largely effective in ensuring that work progresses, but supervision records do not show evidence of challenge and reflection in relation to casework issues. Poor performance is dealt with robustly when recognised. In one case file, inspectors observed that a management file audit led to discernible improvement in practice.
30. Most case recording is up to date and social workers are normally able to locate key documents quickly. However, there are delays in adding some core group records to the electronic social care record. The council has recognised that chronologies are not routinely completed and do not inform ongoing decision making and has recently commissioned mandatory training for staff to ensure improvement in this area.

Leadership and governance

Adequate

31. Leadership and governance is adequate. Strategic leaders have ensured that child protection and early help are prioritised. A restructure in October 2011 has aligned early intervention services such as the Bromley Children Project, children's centres and the CAF team with statutory children's services improving escalation and step down arrangements. MASH has been established and although not yet fully multi-agency, it is effectively triaging contacts from partners, providing clear signposting advice as well as escalating concerns. The local authority has taken appropriate action to address significant workforce recruitment and retention issues with full political support. As a result social work vacancies have fallen from 40% in February 2010 and currently stand at 17%. Current vacancies represent additional posts that are currently covered by agency workers while staff gain social work qualifications through the 'Step-Up to Social Work' scheme and through support to existing unqualified staff to gain social work qualifications. Extra front line management capacity has also been secured which ensures that staff have access to regular support. There has been a significant focus on achieving compliance in a number of aspects of practice. The timeliness of initial assessments has improved from 23.1% in 2009/10 to 90% in 2011/12, and currently stands at over 95%. The local authority can demonstrate that children known to children's social care are seen and

spoken to on a regular basis. With increased stability of staff, improved engagement with partners is evident and some strong partnership arrangements are in place. These include the response to domestic abuse, recent developments to support young people at risk of sexual exploitation and the engagement of adult drug and alcohol services.

32. Despite these clear improvements in the provision of early help and child protection services a number of weaknesses remain. While the majority of these are known to the local authority and are underpinned by an improvement plan, progress is variable and in some areas insufficient progress has been made. The engagement of families in service improvement activity is underdeveloped. The early identification of neglect and timely responses by the local authority to put effective multi-agency plans in place to reduce the likelihood of harm for children subject to neglect is not consistent.
33. The quality of practice is still too variable. Performance information is available and has been used effectively to monitor compliance and identify trends. The quality assurance framework is not yet robust in driving improvement in the quality of work and effectiveness of practice. A programme of themed audits occurs. Themes are determined by the analysis of performance information and resulting audits do provide sound analysis on which to base improvements. Resulting action plans inform the service improvement plan and some improvement in quality of practice has resulted. While first and second line managers do undertake regular file audits there is no focus to these audits as no standard template is used, the findings are not collated and so learning and improved practice is limited to individual casework and individual practitioners. Senior managers recognise the need to focus on quality of practice and have invested in a coordinated package of multi-agency training to improve the skill base of staff but it is too early to see the impact of this on practice. Limited work has progressed to capture the views of children and families involved in child protection processes and their voice does not yet influence service improvement.
34. The post of Director of Children's Services (DCS) has been vacant since 1 April 2012. Clear and appropriate arrangements are in place between the Chief Executive and the Assistant Director of Children's Social Care to discharge the statutory functions of the DCS post. An initial round of recruitment did not identify a suitable candidate. A second round is progressing and nearing a conclusion. This vacancy has not had a detrimental effect on the functioning of the service in the interim. Regular meetings are in place with the Lead Member for Children's Services, who is also the Leader of the Council. This demonstrates the high priority that is given to children's services in Bromley by elected members. The Lead Member is assisted in carrying out elected member functions by the Care Services portfolio holder, assistant portfolio holder and the member's Children's Champion. Elected members are supportive of strategic leaders

within the local authority and provide a sufficient level of challenge through the lead member, the portfolio holder and the Care Services Policy, Development and Scrutiny committee (PDS). However, elected members do not yet give the BSCB sufficient challenge by attending routinely. Attendance by the new lead member and portfolio holders is planned for the coming year at both the BSCB and executive board meetings.

35. The BSCB meets its statutory duties although it recognises that it needs to improve the scrutiny it gives to the impact and outcomes of the wide and varied activity that it leads. Members are committed and there is appropriate cross representation on the Health and Wellbeing Board, the Children’s Partnership Board and the BSCB to ensure a co-ordinated approach to service improvement with appropriate challenge between strategic leaders. A new independent chair has recently been appointed to the BSCB who has already provided clear challenge to the Board about the need to refocus on the impact of the Board’s functioning. For example, a recent serious case review highlighted multi-agency weaknesses in responding to neglect. While the findings from this serious case review have been widely shared with staff across the partnership and the BSCB has agreed that the focus of its annual conference is neglect, insufficient monitoring and oversight has been brought to existing child in need cases to identify and respond to neglect in a timely manner.
36. Staff generally report that they have access to regular supervision and that managers are accessible. Newly qualified social workers (NQS) report that they are well supported by existing staff, a NQS programme and mentoring. Some agency social workers have been recruited to permanent posts. Caseloads are manageable and there is clear monitoring and commitment from senior managers to maintain these at reasonable levels. The workforce is diverse and appropriately reflects the community.

Record of main findings

Local authority arrangements for the protection of children	
Overall effectiveness	Adequate
The effectiveness of the help and protection provided to children, young people, families and carers	Adequate
The quality of practice	Adequate
Leadership and governance	Adequate

London Borough of Bromley– Inspection of child protection

9-18 July 2012

Summary report for children and young people

The purpose of the inspection is to look at how well the council and other organisations help and protect children and young people to be safe from harm and to be well cared for.

Overall we rated the London Borough of Bromley as adequate for how effective child protection services are. All of our grades are explained in the table below:

Outstanding	A service that is significantly better than required at keeping children and young people safe
Good	A service that is better than required at keeping children and young people safe
Adequate	A service that is doing what is required to keep children and young people safe
Inadequate	A service that is not doing what is required to keep children and young people safe

Here is a summary of the things we found at the inspection that were generally **adequate or good** and the things we told the Director:

Inspectors found that when teachers, doctors, nurses or police officers have concerns about children they see, they all work together to make sure children in Bromley are safe. They do this quickly and at the right time. When they tell children's social care services about their concerns, social workers take this very seriously and act straight away. Everybody works hard to make sure that children and their families get the help and support they need in an emergency. All the people that are involved with families share the right information to make sure decisions are made properly.

When babies, young children and their families need extra help and support they usually can get this early on before things become a very big problem. This means that not all problems get to be so serious. Staff from children's centres, schools, nurseries, and the Bromley Children Project give lots of good advice to mums and dads who have problems looking after their children. They help when mums and

dads are not well or when they are not able to look after their children properly. They especially help parents to be fair and think about how to make sure children behave well and do not get into trouble.

Inspectors spoke to some children and young people who said their social worker spent time with them to find out what they wanted. They also made sure that everyone worked together to meet their needs. When it was clear that everyone was working together and things had improved, social workers said this and made sure families had the support they needed to manage on their own.

We know some of the children and young people we met had previously had many social workers who came to see them. This was sometimes very confusing. Bromley now has more social workers. This means that children, young people and their families do not experience too many changes of staff. Children can get to know one social worker and this makes a big difference.

Here is a summary of the things we found at the inspection that **needed improving** and the things we told the Director:

Some children and young people told inspectors that sometimes social workers are not always good at clearly explaining very difficult things to them. Sometimes parents and children are not clear about the plan for the future. This means that some children and families are involved with children's social care without understanding the reasons why.

When social workers get all the information together for reports they need to better understand where children come from and what their life is like. For example, if they are religious or come from a different country. The local authority needs to sort this out soon.

When the local authority are planning what services they need they often forget to ask the children and families who they are working with what they think. The local authority needs to include the opinions and views of the people it helps much more, so that they know the services are meeting their needs.

Some parents do not look after their children properly by making sure that children go to school regularly, are taken to the doctor when they are ill, have regular meals, have fun time and are safe at home. Social workers need to be more careful to make sure that when children and young people aren't looked after properly, they put better plans in place to make sure things improve for those children.

The full inspection report was published on 17 August 2012. The council will now prepare a plan to show how they will improve things. It will also say when they are going to talk to children and young people about what they are going to do and which groups they are going to talk to.

If you would like to look at the full inspection report you can find this at

<http://www.ofsted.gov.uk/local-authorities/bromley>



London Borough of Bromley News Release

17 August 2012
For immediate release

Bromley stands up well to new stringent Ofsted inspection

Bromley's safeguarding services have been one of the first to undergo Ofsted's more stringent, unannounced inspection and they have been judged 'adequate' with some 'good' aspects.

'Adequate' under the new inspection scheme indicates a safe and sound service where children are seen and protected and partner agencies work well together.

Unannounced inspections are a routine part of local authorities' arrangements for making sure that children are safe, but new Government guidelines have recently put in place a much more demanding inspection regime which focuses on casework and service user experience, along with measurable outcomes.

The Ofsted inspection, which took place over eight days in July, was conducted with only one hours notice and examined all aspects of the protection of children in the borough. The outcome demonstrates that Bromley is doing what is required to keep children and young people safe.

Inspectors found that teachers, doctors, nurses, social workers and police worked together to identify concerns and it was clear that they understood when they should take action to make sure children in Bromley are safe. There was praise too for staff from the borough's longstanding and award-winning Bromley Children's Project, as well as Children's Centres, for giving good advice and support to parents. Special mention was made of help concerning how to make sure children behave well and do not get into trouble.

As with all inspections of this type, a resulting action plan will now focus on areas for the local authority to address into the future. These include a better understanding of parents' and children's views when planning services and better arrangements for children who are not looked after properly in terms of being given proper meals, attending school and health issues.

Cllr Robert Evans, Executive Member for the Care Services Portfolio said: "We have a very dedicated safeguarding team in Bromley that works together with other agencies and partners to ensure that children are protected from harm. This inspection was extremely tough and I am reassured that the local authority has been judged as doing the right things to keep our young people safe. I know the team has welcomed the views of the inspectors in reinforcing the particularly good points of the service and observations from the report will be taken into consideration when shaping services for the future."

If you have any concerns about the safety of any child in Bromley, speak out by contacting Bromley Council's Referral and Assessment Service on 020 8461 7373 or 7379.

ends

Notes for editors:

The full report is available at: <http://www.ofsted.gov.uk/local-authorities/bromley>

For media enquiries, please contact Susie Clark in Corporate Communications, on 020 8461 7911 or email susie.clark@bromley.gov.uk

Susie Clark

Corporate Communications | Chief Executive's
London Borough of Bromley

Tel: 0208 461 7911 | **Mob:** 07889 607080

www.bromley.gov.uk